

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,744	10/11/2001	Masayuki Tanaka	03180.0289	7155	
7:	590 11/01/2002				
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,			EXAMINER		
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315			FOURSON III, GEORGE R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		am_			
2	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/973,744	TANAKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George Fourson	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	ugust 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa n	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/973,744

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group II, claims 1-13 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Further restriction between the inventions of claims 1-13 is required as follows.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the first species wherein a metal nitride film is formed on the bottom and side wall of an insulating film patterned to expose a silicide film and a 10msec heating process using light whose main wavelength is shorter than a light absorbing end of silicon during a <600°C heating process followed by forming a contact conductor and wiring, the second species which is similar to the first species except that the light is used whose reflection coefficient for metal including metal nitride is 0.5 or lower, the third species wherein metal is formed on a gate and source drain regions and converted to monosilicide followed by a 10msec heating process using light whose main wavelength is shorter than a light absorbing end of silicon during a <600°C heating process, the fourth species wherein a polysilicon film is doped by implantation and covered with a nitride film with heating with white light as recited in claim 11 and patterning of the polysilicon film and the nitride film and the fifth species wherein a silicide layer is formed on a second polysilicon film with heating with white light as recited in claim 13 and patterning the silicide, polysilicon and inter-electrode insulating films.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax number for this group is (703)308-7722 (or extensions 7724, 3431 or 3432) for regular communications and (703)308-7382 for after final communications.

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

GFourson October 25, 2002